

A Wahhabi Organization in the Guise of a Claimant! A Response to the Muslim World League's Statement Against Iran

Question: Has Iran committed aggression against Arab countries?



Muslim World League

In recent days, the "Muslim World League," an institution affiliated with the Saudi regime, issued a statement in which it accused the Islamic Republic of Iran of "brazen aggression" against a number of Arab countries, including Saudi Arabia. This statement, issued by invoking the support of scholars and muftis of the Islamic world, claims to defend "Islamic brotherhood" and the "territorial integrity" of the countries of the region. But does this claim correspond to reality? What has occurred: Iran's aggression against Arab countries, or legitimate defense against the direct threats of America and Israel?

1. This question itself is a misleading doubt that seeks to present the field reality upside down. American military bases are stationed in Saudi Arabia, the UAE, Kuwait, Bahrain, and Qatar. These bases function as launchpads for military threats against Iran. Iran's confrontation is not with Arab governments; Iran's confrontation is with America, Israel, and their allies.

Unfortunately, in its statement, the Muslim World League not only failed to condemn these bases, but by emphasizing the "right of defense" of the host countries, it has in practice confirmed the legitimacy of the military presence of foreigners in the region.

2. The Muslim World League is an institution affiliated with the political rule of Saudi Arabia and influenced by the ideology of Wahhabism. Over the past two centuries, Wahhabism has always deliberately erred in understanding the boundary between disbelief and Islam. This current not only declared Shia Muslims to be unbelievers, but also put to the sword thousands of Sunni Muslims who did not accompany its violent approach. Wahhabism has lined up against the Islamic Ummah under the British flag and under the American flag, and at times in the service of Zionism.

The Muslim World League, too, as the political-religious arm of this current, has always placed the violent approach of Wahhabism in the service

of the hegemonic ambitions of the West and arrogance. Today, this institution, in the role of a mercenary of the Saudi political system, has once again blurred the boundary between disbelief and Islam and, in the war imposed by America and Israel against Iran, has stood in the camp of disbelief.

3. The first criticism of this statement is the complete removal of the role of America and the Zionist regime as the main factors of threat against Iran. Second, the Holy Quran calls Muslims to insight in distinguishing the front of truth from the front of falsehood: "They wish that you would disbelieve as they disbelieved, so you would be alike" (An-Nisa, 89); "They wish that you would compromise, so they would compromise" (Al-Qalam, 9); "They wish you hardship" (Al-Imran, 118). The statement of the Muslim World League is an objective example of this very compromising approach, which, by condemning only one side of the equation, Iran, and acquitting the other side, Saudi Arabia and its allies, has blurred the boundary between the front

of Islam and the front of disbelief.

4. Over the past 47 years, the Islamic Republic of Iran has paid the greatest cost in the path of keeping raised the banner of support for Palestine and its oppressed people, especially Gaza. At the opposite point, the Muslim World League, as an institution affiliated with the Saudi state, has not only justified the aggressions of the Zionist regime, but has also taken steps along the path of normalizing relations with Israel.

The statement of the Muslim World League is a political document with a religious covering. Wahhabism, which over the past two centuries has always shifted the boundary between disbelief and Islam in favor of the enemies of the Ummah, today too, in the guise of this organization, has stood in the camp of disbelief. Iran's legitimate and manly defense against the threats of American military bases in the region is never "brazen aggression"; rather, it is the very essence of Islamic zeal and loyalty to the ideals of the Ummah.